

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,291		04/22/2004	Masayasu Senda	12065-0013	7232
22902	7590	04/04/2006		EXAMINER	
CLARK &			KOSLOW, CAROL M		
1090 VERMONT AVENUE, NW SUITE 250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1755	
				DATE MAILED: 04/04/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	U
	10/829,291	SENDA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	C. Melissa Koslow	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  sply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a	ccepted or b)  objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•	• •	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the properties of the propert	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure  * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received	
	of the defined copies not?	eccived.	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) $\prod$ Interview Si	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/22/04</u>.</li> </ol>	6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/829,291

Art Unit: 1755

JP 9-106904, cited in the information disclosure statement of 22 April 2004, has been considered with respect to the explanation given in the specification.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: All occurrences of "alkali-earth" should be changed to "alkaline earth" to correspond to conventional terminology. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Alkali-earth" should be changed to "alkaline earth" to correspond to conventional terminology. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a strontium ferrite powder having the formula SrO\*nFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, where n is 5.5 or 5.75, having the bimodal particle size distribution of claim 6 which is produced by hammer milling the coarse particles and hammer and wet milling the fine particles and the bonded magnet produced therefrom, does not reasonably provide enablement for all alkaline earth containing ferrite powders having the property of claims 1-4, all alkaline earth containing ferrite powders having the property of claim 1 and the size distribution of claim 6 and the bonded magnet resulting from the powder of claim 1. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

All the cited U.S. patents show that the coercivity of ferrite powders that contain an alkaline earth metal depends on the composition of the ferrite, the method of treating the ferrite and the particle size of the ferrite. The specification only teaches two ferrite compositions, two methods

Art Unit: 1755

of treating the particles and one particle size distribution. Such a limited disclosure does not support the breadth of the instant claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. patent 4,308,155.

This reference teaches a bonded magnet comprising barium ferrite. Tables 4 and 5 teach a powder where the decrease in coercivity between that of the powder and that of the bonded magnet is in the range of 40-60. While the reference did not use the conditions of the claimed test, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect the difference in coercivity between the powder and the bonded magnet to be the same no matter the composition of the bonded magnet and the manner in which it produced. The reference teaches the claimed powder and magnet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melissa Koslow whose telephone number is (571) 272-1371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo, can be reached at (571) 272-1233.

The fax number for all official communications is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,291 Page 4

Art Unit: 1755

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cmk March 31, 2006 C. Melissa Koslow Primary Examiner Tech. Center 1700